1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 6 7 ERIC LEE ERICKSON. CASE NO. C05-867-JLR 8 Plaintiff, AMENDED ORDER DISMISSING ONE DEFENDANT 9 AND DIRECTING SERVICE v. BY FIRST CLASS MAIL AND 10 JOSEPH LEHMAN, et al., PROCEDURES 11 Defendants. 12 13 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 14 In his Amended Complaint, plaintiff alleges that: (a) defendants failed to release him on his 15 earned early release date and (b) unlawfully imprisoned him on the basis that "he was sentenced 16 to served Community Placement Supervision Conditions while incarcerated, in addition to his 17 standard range sentence." Dkt. #11 at p.3. Plaintiff contends that defendants' action of false 18 imprisonment violated his liberty interests under the Fourteenth Amendment by causing him to 19 serve two types of community placement sentences. Plaintiff identifies the following defendants 20 in this action: 1) Joseph Lehman, Washington State Department of Corrections Secretary and 2) 21 George Appel¹, Snohomish County Prosecutor. 22 // 23 24 ¹This Amended Order is issued to correct the spelling of defendant Appel's last name, which was inadvertently misspelled in the original Order Dismissing One Defendant and 25 Directing Service by First Class Mail and Procedures that was issued by this Court on July 22. 26 2005. See Dkt. #13. ORDER DISMISSING ONE DEFENDANT

AND DIRECTING SERVICE BY MAIL - 1

The Court, having reviewed Plaintiff's amended complaint and the balance of the record, does hereby find and ORDER:

(1) As to defendant George Appel, Snohomish County Prosecutor, the amended complaint fails to state a claim. Prosecutorial immunity protects eligible government officials when they are acting pursuant to their official role as advocate for the State performing functions "intimately associated with the judicial phase of the criminal process." *Imbler v. Pachtman*, 424 U.S. 409, 430 (1976); *see also Kalina v. Fletcher*, 522 U.S. 118, 124-26 (1997); *Cruz v. Kauai County*, 279 F.3d 1064, 1067 (9th Cir. 2002). Prosecutorial immunity extends to actions during both the pre-trial and post-trial phase of case. *Demerv v. Kupperman*, 735 F.2d 1139, 1144 (9th Cir. 1984).

Here, Plaintiff challenges defendant Appel's actions at a March 22, 2005, hearing on Plaintiff's motion regarding his Community Placement Sentence. Dkt. #11 at p. 4. Because the actions were intimately connected with the judicial phase of the criminal process, this complaint is therefore DISMISSED as to Snohomish County Prosecutor George Appel under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

(2) <u>Service by Clerk</u>

The Clerk is directed to send the following to the remaining defendant, Joseph Lehman by first class mail: a copy of the amended complaint and of this Order, a copy of the Notice of Initial Assignment and Consent to Proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge, two copies of the Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of Service of Summons, a Waiver of Service of Summons, and a return envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to the Clerk's office.

(2) Response Required

Defendant shall have **thirty** (30) **days** within which to return the enclosed Waiver of Service of Summons. Any defendant who timely returns the signed Waiver shall have **sixty** (60) **days** after the date designated on the Notice of Lawsuit to file and serve an answer to the

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Amended Complaint or a motion permitted under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Any defendant who fails to timely return the signed Waiver will be personally served with a summons and Complaint, and may be required to pay the full costs of such service, pursuant to Rule 4(d)(2). A defendant who has been personally served shall file an answer or motion permitted under Rule 12 within thirty (30) days after service.

(3) Filing and Service by Parties, Generally

All attorneys admitted to practice before this Court are required to file documents electronically vial the Court's CM/ECF system. Counsel are directed to the Court's website, www.wawd.uscourts.gov., for a detailed description of the requirements for filing via CM/ECF. All non-attorneys, such as *pro se* parties and/or prisoners, may continue to file a paper original of any document for the court's consideration. A party filing a paper original does not need to file a chambers copy. All filings, whether filed electronically or in traditional paper format, must indicate in the upper right-hand corner the name of the Magistrate Judge to whom the document is directed. Additionally, any document filed with the Court must be accompanied by proof that such documents have been served upon counsel for the opposing party (or upon any party acting pro se). The proof shall show the day and manner of service and may be written acknowledgment of service, by certificate of a member of the bar of this court, or by affidavit of the person who served the papers.

(4) Motions

Any request for court action shall be set forth in a motion, properly filed and served. Pursuant to amended Local Rule CR 7(b), any argument being offered in support of a motion shall be submitted as a part of the motion itself and not in a separate document. **The motion** shall include in its caption (immediately below the title of the motion) a designation of the date the motion is to be noted for consideration upon the court's motion calendar.

26

Stipulated and agreed motions, motions to file overlength motions or briefs, motions for reconsideration, joint submissions pursuant to the option procedure established in CR 37(a)(2)(B), motions for default, requests for the clerk to enter default judgment, and motions for the court to enter default judgment where the opposing party has not appeared shall be noted for consideration on the day they are filed. See Local Rule CR 7(d)(1). All non-dispositive motions shall be noted for consideration no earlier than the third Friday following filing and service of the motion. See Local Rule CR 7(d)(3). Dispositive motions shall be noted for consideration no earlier than the fourth Friday following filing and service of the motion.

For electronic filers, all briefs and affidavits in opposition to either a dispositive or nondispositive motion shall be filed and served not later than 11:59 p.m. on the Monday immediately preceding the date designated for consideration of the motion. If a party files a paper original (i.e. a pro se and/or prisoner), that opposition must be received in the Clerk's office by 4:30 p.m. on the Monday immediately preceding the date designated for consideration of the motion. If a party fails to file and serve timely opposition to a motion, the court may deem any opposition to be without merit.

Additionally, the party making the motion may file and serve, not later than 11:59 p.m. (if filed electronically) or 4:30 p.m. (if filing a paper original with the Clerk's office) on the judicial day immediately preceding the date designated for consideration of the motion, a response to the opposing party's briefs and affidavits.

(5) Direct Communications with District Judge or Magistrate Judge

No direct communication is to take place with the District Judge or Magistrate Judge with regard to this case. All relevant information and papers are to be directed to the Clerk.

//

24

25

26

1	(6) The Clerk is directed to send a cop	by of this Order and of the General Order to
2	plaintiff.	
3	DATED this 8th day of August, 2005.	
4		
5		s/James L. Robart
6		JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge
7		
8	Recommended for Entry this 27th day of July, 2005	
9	this 27th day of July, 2005	
10		
11	s/ MONICA J. BENTON United States Magistrate Judge	
12	United States Magistrate Judge	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	ORDER DISMISSING ONE DEFENDANT AND DIRECTING SERVICE BY MAIL – 5	